U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name: Uahi-Ridge-Phase-1						
HEROS Number: 900000010321295						
Responsible Entity (RE): KAUAI COUNTY, 4193 Hardy St Lihue HI, 96766						
RE Preparer: Steven Franco						
State / Local Identifier:						
Certifying Officer:						
Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent Uahi Development LLC ity):						
Point of Contact: Patti Barbee						
Consultant (if applicabl						
e):						
Point of Contact:						
Project Location: 4534 Uahi Rd, Lihue, HI 96766						
Additional Location Information: N/A						
Direct Comments to:						

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The Uahi Ridge project is a new rental community providing safe and affordable long-term housing for 95 families in Lihue. It is located on a vacant site in an underdeveloped area near Kukui Grove Center, the island's largest shopping mall. The project is also near the county's major employers, county and state government offices, and the county's largest private employers, the Wilcox Hospital, Walmart, and Kauai Medical Clinic. The project will be a 96-unit (including 1 manager's unit) affordable rental housing project for residents earning 30 to 60% of the area median income consisting of 48 one-bedroom, 24 two-bedroom (including 1 manager's unit), and 24 three-bedroom units. Amenities include a community room, playground equipment, and barbeque areas. Residents will have access to a charging station for electric vehicles and designated areas to store bicycles and surfboards. The developer purchased the property on February 29, 2024.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The Uahi Ridge project addresses the need for additional affordable housing to meet the unmet demand for affordable homes. The Hawaii Housing Planning Study, 2019, conducted by SMS Research, Inc. projects that between 2020 through 2025, Kauai will need 2,031 units for residents earning 60% of Area Median Income or less. The Kauai County General Plan, Technical Study: Socio-economic Analysis and Forecast SMS Research 2014 projects an average annual population increase of 2.39% in Lihu'e, an increase from 14,683 to 21,595 in 2030. The Lihue Community Plan, 2015 projected that the County of Kauai would need 10,000 additional new housing units between 2010 and 2035. The same study projected that the population for Lihue would grow by 1.89 percent per year between 2010 and 2035, and the housing units would need to increase by 2.53 percent per year, equating to 184 units per year to keep up with the demand. In 2010, Lihue was home to 18% of the island's residents. By 2035, it is projected that 25% of the island's residents will live in Lihue.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The project is bordered to the northwest by the Aloha Church Assemblies (CPR APT 1 within the same parcel), to the south by Kaumualii Highway, to the north and east by open land, to the west by Uahi Road, across which is agricultural farmlands. The topography of the project is level at an elevation of approximately 211 feet above mean sea level. The project is in United States Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Zone X: Area of Minimal Flood Hazard (FEMA, 2011). The project conforms to local development requirements as the site has been granted a Project Development Use Permit and Class IV Zoning Permit Z from the County of Kauai. The project will be built in accordance with the permits, and no other variances are contemplated at this time. The Uahi Ridge project is consistent with the goal of the Lihuee Community Plan, June 2015 ("Plan") for the development of a mixed-use walkable community in the neighborhood. Although the site is located outside of the boundary for the Puhi Mauka area, the site is located between 15 to 20 minutes via foot to shopping, medical offices, and other commercial services, which is

consistent with the Plan. The development of affordable housing complements the contemplated expansion of the Kauai Community College and the development of commercial and residential uses in the area as contemplated by the Plan.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Determination:

√	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human
	environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
P10-RICE STREET APT	Public Housing	Project-Based Voucher Program	\$0.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded, Assisted or Insured Amount:

\$6,942,536.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a)

\$72,973,777.00

(5)]:

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors:	Are formal	Compliance determination
Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	compliance steps or mitigation required?	(See Appendix A for source determinations)

STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6				
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	□ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. The closest airport is Lihue Airport which is 1.49 miles or 7,867 feet away. See Google Maps image.			
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	□ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.			
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements. See FEMA map for area #1500020307E, effective on 09/16/2005, which shows that the project is in Flood Zone X.			
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS, AND REGULATION	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5			
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	□ Yes ☑ No	The project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants. Hawaii is not included in the Nonattainment and Maintenance Area Dashboard by EPA, and therefore the project is not located in a county with non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. See attached dataset from SPeCS for SIPS from the EPA website that does not include Hawaii.			

Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. In Hawaii, the Special Management Area (SMA) permitting system is part of the CZM Program approved by Federal and State agencies. The project is not located in an SMA and therefore is in compliance with the Coastal Zone
		Management Act. See SMA map from Hawaii State GIS Program.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]	□ Yes ☑ No	Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA, ASTM Phase II ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.
Endangered Species Act Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project has been determined to have No Effect on listed species. An official species list was provided by the Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, and it determined that are no critical habitats within the project area. However, the hoary bat, several bird species, and two plants listed do not have a critical habitat designation. The developer will comply with the guidelines provided by the US Fish and Wildlife Service to avoid and minimize any potential impacts. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act without mitigation.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	□ Yes ☑ No	There are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project site. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project is located on TMK (4) 3-8-005-022:002 and the entire parcel is in the Urban State Land Use District (see State GIS Map), and zoned R-20 under

	1	The Residence of the Late Co.
		the Kauai County, which is for residential use (see the Lihue-Kapaia map by the Kauai Planning Department. Therefore, this project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a nonagricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not occur in a
Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55		floodplain. The project is located on TMK: (4) 3-8-005:022 APT 2, and the entire parcel is located in Flood Zone X. See FEMA Firmette map # 1500020307E and 1500020326F. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.
Historic Preservation	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on Section 106 consultation there
National Historic Preservation Act of		are No Historic Properties Affected
1966, particularly sections 106 and		because there are no historic properties
110; 36 CFR Part 800		present. The project is in compliance
		with Section 106.
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	☐ Yes ☑ No	A Noise Assessment was conducted. There are three noise generators within threshold distances: Kaumuali'i Highway, Nawiliwili Road, and Lihue Airport. The project is outside of the noise contour map for Lihue Airport, (see Exhibit 3.2-1 in the Lihue Airport Noise Exposure Map Update) and therefore was not considered in the HUD noise calculator. With Kaumuali'i Highway and Nawiliwili Road as noise generators, the noise level was acceptable: 65.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.
Sole Source Aquifers	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project is not located on a sole
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as		source aquifer area. The project is in
amended, particularly section		compliance with Sole Source Aquifer
1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149		requirements. See Sole Source Aquifer Map from U.S. Environmental
		Protection Agency that shows Kauai
		does not have any Sole Source Aquifers.
Wetlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project will not impact on- or off-
Executive Order 11990, particularly	_ 165 _ 100	site wetlands. See U.S Fish and Wildlife
sections 2 and 5		Service National Wetland Inventory
55565115 E 4114 5	1	Jo. Floo Hadional Wediana Inventory

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	☐ Yes ☑ No	map, and then the overlay map showing the project in relation to wetland features. Uahi Ridge Phase 1 does not contain any wetland features. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. This project is not within proximity of an NWSRS river. According to the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, Hawaii has no designated wild & scenic rivers. See map from the NWSRS website. The project in located in Lihue, which does not contain any national wild, scenic, or recreational river areas. See map from the Nationwide Rivers Inventory. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.
HUD HO	DUSING ENVIRONMEN	ITAL STANDARDS
	ENVIRONMENTAL J	USTICE
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	□ Yes ☑ No	No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- **(4)** Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental Assessment	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation			
Factor						
	LAND DEVELOPMENT					
Conformance with	1	The project is in the Urban State Land				
Plans / Compatible		Use District and zoned R-20 for the				
Land Use and		County of Kauai. which is for				
Zoning / Scale and		residential use. The Uahi Ridge				
Urban Design		project is consistent with the goal of				

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation		
		the Lihue Community Plan, June 2015 ("Plan") for the development of a mixed-use walkable community in the neighborhood.			
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	2	All soil suitability, slope, erosion, drainage, and stormwater runoff issues will be addressed in accordance with applicable codes and requirements.			
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site- Generated Noise	3	Operation of construction equipment will temporarily elevate ambient noise and concentrations of exhaust emission in the immediate vicinity of the project site.	During construction, site safety and noise impacts will be mitigated according to local regulations and requirements.		
		SOCIOECONOMIC			
Employment and Income Patterns	1	Project construction will generate potential employment opportunities for local residents. At least two-full time jobs will be created when the project is operational.			
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	1	Project targets low-income families that live on the island of Kauai.			
Environmental Justice EA Factor	2	There were no adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review, nor were any adverse environmental impacts disproportionately high for lowincome and/or minority communities.			
COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES					
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and Capacity)	2	The project is in close proximity to Chiefess Kamakahelei Middle School, Kauai Community College, Elsie Wilcox Elementary School, Kapaa High School and other charter schools.			

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Commercial Facilities (Access and Proximity)	2	Project located off of Kaumualii Hwy with convenient access to stores, restaurants, and a variety of other businesses.	
Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	2	The project is located in close proximity to Kauai Urgent Care, Wilcox Medical Center, Kauai VA Clinic, and many other health care services along Kuhio Highway.	
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The proposed project will produce solid waste, which is managed by the Public Works Department of the County of Kauai. The project will promote recycling to divert waste from the landfill.	
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	Project waste/water sewer will be connected to Puhi Sewer and Water Company.	
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	Existing County of Kauai Department of Water connection is available with sufficient capacity at Kaumualii Hwy.	
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	2	The project is within 3 miles of Kauai Police Station (Kaana St), and a fire station (Rice St and Hardy St) and emergency medical services (Haleko Road and Kukui Grove Street).	
Parks, Open Space and Recreation (Access and Capacity)	2	The project is close to Lihue County Park, Historical Monument Garden, Isenberg Park, and Nawiliwili Park.	
Transportation and Accessibility (Access and Capacity)	2	The project is close to public transportation routes.	
	ı	NATURAL FEATURES	
Unique Natural Features /Water Resources	2	There are no unique Natural Features or water resources on site that will be impacted.	
Vegetation / Wildlife (Introduction, Modification,	2	No unique or endangered vegetation or wildlife has been identified on the project site.	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment	Code		
Factor			
Removal,			
Disruption, etc.)			
Other Factors 1			
Other Factors 2			
		CLIMATE AND ENERGY	
Climate Change	2	The proposed project will not impact	
		climate in the area and no mitigation	
		is required.	
Energy Efficiency	2	The project will be built to LEED	
		Silver. Photovoltaic or solar water	
		heating system will be installed. Units	
		will feature windows with Low-E	
		glazing, ceiling fans, low flow	
		plumbing fixtures and energy star	
		appliances. Solar area lighting and	
		solar walkway lighting bollards will be	
		used. The site will feature a	
		underground storm water detention	
		system. The project will include	
		features to promote multi-modal	
		transportation including an EV	
		Charging Station and storage for e-	
		scooters and bicycles	

Supporting documentation

Additional Studies Performed:

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed by:

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

State Historic Preservation Division Kauai Historic Preservation Review Commission Hawaii Department of Transportation Hawaii Housing and Finance Development Corporation Hawaii Department of Education Office of Planning and Sustainable Development County of Kauai Housing Agency County of Kauai Public Works County of Kauai Transporation Agency Puhi Sewer and Water Company Kauai Montessori Preschool & Aloha Church Kauai US Army Corps of Engineers

2023-12-28 Uahi Ridge Exemption Notice Final.pdf

List of Permits Obtained:

Building Permits Grading Permits Zoning Permit Approval from Kauai Board of Water Supply Kauai Fire Department Kauai Department of Health - Form 1 Approval NPDES (Hawaii Department of Health) Chapter 6E, HRS Historic Preservation (State Historic Preservation Divison)

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

Virtual Public Meeting - 11/12/23 Kauai Historic Preservation Review Commission Meeting - 11/16/23

<u>Uahi Ridge Phase 1 - Letter from KHPRC(1).pdf</u>

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

There will be no cumulative negative impact on the area.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

None.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

Taking no action will result in the Kauai County not serving 96 families.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

The Uahi Ridge Phase 1 development is well-aligned with the Lihue Community Plan for creating a mixed-use, walkable community in the Urban State Land Use District of Kauai. Key environmental considerations such as soil suitability, slope, erosion, drainage, and stormwater runoff are to be managed in accordance with local codes, mitigating any construction-related noise and emissions. Socioeconomically, the project is poised to generate employment during and after construction, aiming to serve low-income families without displacing current residents. It is consistent with environmental justice standards, with no disproportionate adverse impacts identified on minority or low-income groups. Infrastructure-wise, the project has ready access to educational, healthcare, commercial, and public safety facilities, and is well-supported by the existing solid waste, wastewater, and water supply systems. Public transportation routes are readily accessible, promoting mobility. In terms of natural and community resources, the project will not adversely affect unique natural features, vegetation, wildlife, or water resources. Recreational needs are met by nearby parks and open spaces, and the project's design promotes energy efficiency

and sustainability, targeting LEED Silver certification with features like photovoltaic systems and low-impact stormwater management. The assessment concludes that the Uahi Ridge project complies with environmental regulations and community planning objectives, carrying no significant negative environmental impacts and providing several socioeconomic and community benefits.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Mitigation Plan	Complete
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site- Generated Noise	During construction, site safety and noise impacts will be mitigated according to local regulations and requirements.	N/A	The State DOH administers rules and regulations relating to hours during which construction is permitted and the noise levels permitted during those hours. The contractor will be required to abide by the noise regulations.	

Project Mitigation Plan

The State DOH administers rules and regulations relating to hours during which construction is permitted and the noise levels permitted during those hours. The contractor will be required to abide by the noise regulations.

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. The closest airport is Lihue Airport which is 1.49 miles or 7,867 feet away. See Google Maps image.

Supporting documentation

<u>Uahi Ridge 1 - Airport Hazard Map.png</u>

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

- 1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>
 - ✓ No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements. See FEMA map for area #1500020307E, effective on 09/16/2005, which shows that the project is in Flood Zone X.

Supporting documentation

<u>Uahi Ridge - FIRMETTE.pdf</u>

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

No

Air Quality Attainment Status of Project's County or Air Quality Management District

- 2. Is your project's air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?
- ✓ No, project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants.

Yes, project's management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for the following criteria pollutants (check all that apply):

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants. Hawaii is not included in the Nonattainment and Maintenance Area Dashboard by EPA, and therefore the project is not located in a county with nonattainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. See attached dataset from SPeCS for SIPS from the EPA website that does not include Hawaii.

Supporting documentation

<u>Uahi Ridge 1 - EPA SIPs.xlsx</u>

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. In Hawaii, the Special Management Area (SMA) permitting system is part of the CZM Program approved by Federal and State agencies. The project is not located in an SMA and therefore is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. See SMA map from Hawaii State GIS Program.

Supporting documentation

<u>Uahi - SMA Map.pdf</u>

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		24 CFR 50.3(i)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		
chemicals and gases, and radioactive		
substances, where a hazard could affect the		
health and safety of the occupants or conflict		
with the intended utilization of the property.		

- 1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.
 - ✓ American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
 - ✓ ASTM Phase II ESA
 Remediation or clean-up plan
 ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening

 None of the Above
- 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)
 - ✓ No

Explain:

Surface soil sampling did not identify surface soil contamination above HDOH Tier 1 EALs. Surface soils with concentrations of total petroleum hydrocarbons, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, volatile organic compounds, RCRA 8 metals and/or bioaccessible arsenic exceeding the HDOH Tier 1 EALs were not identified at the Subject Site.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA, ASTM Phase II ESA. Onsite or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

Supporting documentation

Koamalu Plantation Phase I ESA-1.pdf Uahi Ridge Phase 1 - Phase II ESA.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

- Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.
- 2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat

- Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area.
- 3. What effects, if any, will your project have on federally listed species or designated critical habitat?

✓ No Effect: Based on the specifics of both the project and any federally listed species in the action area, you have determined that the project will have absolutely no effect on listed species or critical habitat. in the action area.

Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below. Documentation should include a species list and explanation of your conclusion, and may require maps, photographs, and surveys as appropriate

May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect: Any effects that the project may have on federally listed species or critical habitats would be beneficial, discountable, or insignificant.

Likely to Adversely Affect: The project may have negative effects on one or more listed species or critical habitat.

6. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the environmental review. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cancel the project using the button at the bottom of this screen.

Mitigation as follows will be implemented:

✓ No mitigation is necessary.

Explain why mitigation will not be made here:

An official species list was provided by the Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, and it determined that are no critical habitats within the project area. However, the hoary bat, several bird species, and two plants listed do not have a critical habitat designation. The developer will comply with the guidelines provided by the US Fish and Wildlife Service to

avoid and minimize any potential impacts.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project has been determined to have No Effect on listed species. An official species list was provided by the Pacific Islands Fish and Wildlife Office, and it determined that are no critical habitats within the project area. However, the hoary bat, several bird species, and two plants listed do not have a critical habitat designation. The developer will comply with the guidelines provided by the US Fish and Wildlife Service to avoid and minimize any potential impacts. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act without mitigation.

Supporting documentation

Species List_ Pacific Islands Fish And Wildlife Office (2).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

✓ No

Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

No

✓ Yes

- 3. Within 1 mile of the project site, are there any current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers that are covered by 24 CFR 51C? Containers that are NOT covered under the regulation include:
- Containers 100 gallons or less in capacity, containing common liquid industrial fuels OR
- Containers of liquified petroleum gas (LPG) or propane with a water volume capacity of 1,000 gallons or less that meet the requirements of the 2017 or later version of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Code 58.

If all containers within the search area fit the above criteria, answer "No." For any other type of aboveground storage container within the search area that holds one of the flammable or explosive materials listed in Appendix I of 24 CFR part 51 subpart C, answer "Yes."

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

There are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project site. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Supporting documentation

Koamalu Plantation Phase I ESA-1(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

The parcel is zoned as Urban, therefore, no agricultural land will be converted into non-agricultural use.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is located on TMK (4) 3-8-005-022:002 and the entire parcel is in the Urban State Land Use District (see State GIS Map), and zoned R-20 under the Kauai County, which is for residential use (see the Lihue-Kapaia map by the Kauai Planning Department. Therefore, this project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

Supporting documentation

<u>Uahi - SLUD Map.pdf</u> Uahi Ridge - Kauai Zoning Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and		
indirect support of floodplain		
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

<u>Uahi - FIRMETTE.pdf</u> Uahi - FEMA.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is located on TMK: (4) 3-8-005:022 APT 2, and the entire parcel is located in Flood Zone X. See FEMA Firmette map # 1500020307E and 1500020326F. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-
(NHPA) require a		vol3-part800.pdf
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed
- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Completed
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

The Kaua'i County Housing Agency (KCHA) initiated consultation with the Hawai'i State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) on September 13, 2023 with respect to the proposed project undertaking and request concurrence with the Area of Potential Effects (APE). KCHA also requested the SHPO's concurrence on the effect determination for the proposed undertaking. KCHA's request is made pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966, as amended (2006), and its implementing regulation, 36 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 800, and as authorized by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), as well as Hawaii Revised Statutes (HRS), Chapter 6E, Historic Preservation. KCHA, in partnership with the Uahi Development LLC, intends to complete the planned development of Uahi Ridge, Phase I. This project completed the State of Hawai'i Revised Statues Chapter 6E processes and a determination from SHPD Archaeology Branch on October 13, 2008 (Log No. 2008.4362) that "no historic property will be affected". In accordance with the NHPA, we have conducted extensive outreach to various Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs) and special interest groups potentially affected by or interested in the Uahi Ridge I project. A comprehensive list of these organizations and groups, along with copies of the letters we sent to initiate consultation was provided to SHPD via HICRIS on January 22, 2024. We received only one formal response from the Kauai Historic Preservation Review Commission (KHPRC) and we provided their formal letter and our response to their recommendation to SHPD via HICRIS on January 22, 2024. Based on the results of the archaeological field study and consultation with NHOs the KCHA has made a no historic properties affected determination. SHPD concurred with KCHA's determination on March 26, 2024.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes

No

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or

uploading a map depicting the APE below:

The project will be located North of Kaumualii Highway and consists of a parcel currently owned by Uahi Development LLC. The new residential structures will be situated solely on Tax Map Key (TMK) (4) 3-8-005-022:0002 (Also known as APT 2). APT 3 of the TMK will later be developed into Uahi Ridge Phase 2, however, it has completely separate financing. APT 1 of the TMK will remain in place and is not a part of the project. The original proposed APE consisted of (TMK) (4) 3-8-005-022:0002 and amounted to approximately 6.53 acres or 284,447 square feet. The Project Area and APE description was revised to reflect redefined boundaries and acreage to include the off-site sewer force main installation. The new APE is described as TMK: (4) 3-8-005:022-002 which is a 4.3-acre parcel bounded by Uahi Road to the north and west with Kaumualii Highway to the south, between the intersections of Rice Street and Nawiliwili Road, and a 7.85-acre portion of Kaumualii Highway, Nawiliwili Road, Haleko Road and Old Nawiliwili Road. The total APE is approximately 12.15 acres. The revised APE map was provided to SHPD via HICRIS on January 22, 2024.

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

Additional Notes:

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

✓ Yes

Document and upload surveys and report(s) below. For Archeological surveys, refer to HP Fact Sheet #6, Guidance on Archeological Investigations in HUD Projects.

Additional Notes:

An archeological literary review and field inspection was conducted per SHPD.

No

Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

✓ No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.

Supporting documentation

Uahi Ridge Phase 1 - Revised Project Area: APE Map.pdf

KA-082 FI - FINAL - 032724.pdf

SHPD Clearing House Letter 11 2 23.pdf

Uahi Ridge 1 - SHPD Section 106 and 6E Concurrence.pdf

Uahi Ridge Phase 1 - List of NHOs and SIG Contacted(1).xlsx

SHPD Response Cover Letter Uahi Ridge I 1_22_24.pdf

Uahi Ridge Phase 1 - Repsonse to KHPRC.pdf

<u>Uahi Ridge Phase 1 - Letter from KHPRC.pdf</u>

Uahi Ridge Phase 1 - List of NHOs and SIG Contacted.xlsx

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

- 1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:
- ✓ New construction for residential use

NOTE: HUD assistance to new construction projects is generally prohibited if they are located in an Unacceptable zone, and HUD discourages assistance for new construction projects in Normally Unacceptable zones. See 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3) for further details.

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster None of the above

4. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000' from a major road, 3000' from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

✓ Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.

5. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the

✓ Acceptable: (65 decibels or less; the ceiling may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Indicate noise level here: 65

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Normally Unacceptable: (Above 65 decibels but not exceeding 75 decibels; the floor may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Unacceptable: (Above 75 decibels)

HUD strongly encourages conversion of noise-exposed sites to land uses compatible with high noise levels.

Check here to affirm that you have considered converting this property to a non-residential use compatible with high noise levels.

Indicate noise level here: 65

Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

A Noise Assessment was conducted. There are three noise generators within threshold distances: Kaumuali'i Highway, Nawiliwili Road, and Lihue Airport. The project is outside of the noise contour map for Lihue Airport, (see Exhibit 3.2-1 in the Lihue Airport Noise Exposure Map Update) and therefore was not considered in the HUD noise calculator. With Kaumuali'i Highway and Nawiliwili Road as noise generators, the noise level was acceptable: 65.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

Supporting documentation

DNL Calculator - HUD Exchange.pdf Lihue Aiport Noise Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

Yes

✓ No

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. See Sole Source Aquifer Map from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency that shows Kauai does not have any Sole Source Aquifers.

Supporting documentation

Uahi Ridge - Sole Source Aquifer Map .pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

- ✓ Yes
- 2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Screen Summary
Compliance Determination

The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. See U.S Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory map, and then the overlay map showing the project in relation to wetland features. Uahi Ridge Phase 1 does not contain any wetland features. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

Supporting documentation

<u>Uahi Ridge Overlay WETLANDS OVERLAY.pdf</u> <u>Uahi - National Wetlands Inventory Map.pdf</u>

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of an NWSRS river. According to the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, Hawaii has no designated wild & scenic rivers. See map from the NWSRS website. The project in located in Lihue, which does not contain any national wild, scenic, or recreational river areas. See map from the Nationwide Rivers Inventory. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

Supporting documentation

<u>Uahi Ridge - NRI .png</u> Uahi Ridge - Designated Rivers.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes