County of Kauai, Hawaii

Single Audit Reports
For The Year Ended June 30, 2007



A Hawaii Limited Liability Partnership

March 25, 2008

To the Chair and Members of the County Council of Kauai, Hawaii Lihue, Kauai, Hawaii

We have completed our audit of the basic financial statements of the County of Kauai, Hawaii (the County), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007. Our report containing our opinion on those basic financial statements is included in the County's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report. We transmit herewith our reports on internal control and compliance with applicable laws and regulations in accordance with the Single Audit Act of 1984, as amended, and the provisions of the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations.

Objectives and Scope of Examination

The primary objective of our audit was to form an opinion as to whether the above mentioned financial statements present fairly the financial position of the County as of June 30, 2007 and the results of its operations for the year then ended.

Our audit was made in accordance with the specifications as outlined in the Request for Proposals for Professional Financial Auditing Services for Fiscal Years 2004-05, 2005-06, and 2006-07.

The scope of the audit was as follows:

- 1. Conduct a financial audit of the County to provide a basis to report on the fair presentation of the County's basic financial statements.
- Provide an "in-relation-to" report on the combining and individual non-major fund financial statements and supporting schedules based on the auditing procedures applied during the audit of the basic financial statements.
- 3. Provide an "in-relation-to" report on the schedule of expenditures of federal awards based on the auditing procedures applied during the audit of the basic financial statements. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards and related report, as well as the reports on the internal controls and compliance were not included in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report, but were issued separately.

Our audit was performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America as set forth by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the standards for financial audits set forth in the U.S. General Accounting Office's Government Auditing Standards, the provisions of the Single Audit Act of 1984, as amended, and the provisions of the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments and Non-Profit Organizations.

We have also reviewed and updated the findings and other weaknesses identified in the County's financial statement audit for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006.

Organization of Report

This Single Audit Report includes the following:

- Report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an audit of financial statements performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards
- Report on compliance with requirements applicable to each major program and on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133
- Schedule of expenditures of federal awards
- Schedule of findings and questioned costs
- Summary schedule of prior audit findings

The basic financial statements and related notes, along with other financial information of the County, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, and our opinion as to the fairness of the presentation of those statements are included in a separate report dated March 25, 2008. We have also included our comments on matters relating to the County's internal control and operations in a separate report also dated March 25, 2008.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank the staff of the County for their courteous cooperation and assistance during our audit.

KUHLLD

KMH LLP

Table of Contents

Part		Page
I	Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	1
II	Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133, and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	3
Ш	Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
IV	Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	14

PART I

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial
Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters
Based on an Audit of Financial
Statements Performed in Accordance With
Government Auditing Standards



A Hawaii Limited Liability Partnership

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Chair and Members of the County Council of Kauai, Hawaii Lihue, Kauai, Hawaii

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Kauai, Hawaii (the County) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 25, 2008. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report financial data reliably in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the entity's financial statements that is more than inconsequential, will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, items 07-01 through 07-08, to be significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, of the significant deficiencies described above, we consider items 07-01 through 07-08 to be material weaknesses.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other maters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 07-09.

We noted certain matters that we reported to management of the County in a separate letter dated March 25, 2008.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the County Council, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

KMH LLP

KMH LLP

Honolulu, Hawaii March 25, 2008

PART II

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance
with Requirements Applicable to
Each Major Program and Internal Control Over
Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133,
and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards



A Hawaii Limited Liability Partnership

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133, and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

To the Chair and Members of the County Council of Kauai, Hawaii Lihue, Kauai, Hawaii

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the County of Kauai, Hawaii (the County) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U. S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007. The County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with those requirements.

As described in items 07-10 through 07-16 in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, the County did not comply with requirements regarding reporting that are applicable to the Homeland Security Grant Program, special tests and provisions, allowable costs, eligibility, reporting and cash management that are applicable to its Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program and allowable costs/activities allowed, period of availability and program income that are applicable to its Home Investment Partnership Program. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the County to comply with requirements applicable to those programs.

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the preceding paragraph, the County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2007.

Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in the entity's internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses as defined below. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies and others that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A control deficiency in an entity's internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the entity's ability to administer a federal program such that there is more than a remote likelihood that noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 07-10 through 07-16 to be significant deficiencies.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies, that results in more than a remote likelihood that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented or detected by the entity's internal control. Of the significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, we consider items 07-10 through 07-16 to be material weaknesses.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2007, and have issued our report thereon dated March 25, 2008. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and in our opinion is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the County Council of Kauai, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than those specified parties.

KMH LLP

KMH LLP

Honolulu, Hawaii March 25, 2008

PART III

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

١

County of Kaua'i

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/Title U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			•	Current Year Expenditure Amount		Total Cumulative Expenditures	Grant Balance	
Passed Through State Executive Office on Aging-		_	_					
Food Distribution:								
NSIP07 - 2007 FY								
USDA, Fiscal year 2006		E07009	s	30,000	\$	25,627	\$ 25,627	\$ 4,373
Total Food Distribution	10.550	USDA06		35,888		5,078	23,475	12,413
	10.550			65,888		30,705	49,102	16,786
Passed Through State Department of Land and Natural Resour	CAR							
Cooperative Fire Assistance:	-							
Volunteer Fire Assistance		VFA006		# 0.000				
Volunteer Fire Assistance (F07VFA)		06-DG-11052012-173		50,000		36,390	36,390	13,610
Total Commenting Circ. A		00 DG-11002012-173		50,000		29,583	29,583	20,417
Total Cooperative Fire Assistance	10.664			100,000		65,973	65,973	34,027
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE				165,888		96,678	115,075	50,813
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Passed Through Office of State Planning			<u></u>					
Coastal Zone Management Program Administration Grants-								
Coastal Zone Management:								
Fiscal year 2004	11.419	HCZM04		215,075		•••		
Fiscal year 2005	11.419	HCZM05		277,762		(20)	209,055	6,020
Fiscal year 2006	11.419	HCZM06		290,344		39,736	277,762	
Fiscal year 2007	11.419	207001		308,951		116,260	277,858	12,486
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE				1,092,132		265,465	265,465	43,486
				1,092,132		421,441	1,030,140	61,992
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY								
Passed Through State Department of Business, Economic Deve	lopment and	Tourism:						
Energy Extension Service:								
Energy Ext Service 2005		EE\$005		69,825		14,000	69,651	174
Energy Ext Service 2006		EES006		69,825		8,347	62,051	7,774
Energy Ext. Service 2007		D07059		57,269		54,617	54,618	2,651
Energy Ext. Service 2007 Renew En Eff		D07057		10,000		10,000	10,000	2,051
Energy Ext. Service 2007 EXT Rebuild America 2007		D07056		2,000		2,000	2,000	
Energy Ext. Recycle Re. 2007		D07055		3,000		3,000	3,000	_
Niihau Photovoltic System		PHOT06		150,000		60,990	60,990	89,010
Total Energy Extension Service	81.041			361,919		152,9\$4	262,310	99,609
DBEDT CHP PMRF Feas Study		PMRFFS		80,975		56,683	80,975	
Rebuild America Training, FY 2001 (REBU01)	81.119	L-00-101		27 002		2 202		
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY		2 00 101		27,882 470,776		3,203	26,378	1,504
71.0		•		470,770		212,840	369,663	101,113
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Direct Programs								
Surveys, Studies, Investigations and Special Purpose Grants:								
Brownfield Assessment (CERCLA)	66,818	DE OCOLONOL A						
Pollution Control	66.460	BF-96913101-0		199,999		78,081	196,809	3,190
Waimaa WWTP Backup Effluent	66.606	POLLUT (410)		200,000		62,626	200,000	-
1		EPAWAI (410)		483,900		163,183	483,900	<u> </u>
TOTAL U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	•			883,899		303,890	880,709	3,190
				_				

County of Kaua'i

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Courgrage Nutrition Services - CI KEO B07011 13,197 3,084 3,084 11,01 Home Delivered Nutrition Services, Fiscal year 2005 B07006 74,779 16,341 134,145 124, 145 1	7.1.10	CFDA	Cours Book of B. J.	Program or		-		Ex	rrent Year penditure	_	Total umulative		Grant
Passed Through State Bascative Office on Aging—Special Programs for the Aging—Title III, Part C. Congragate Notificins Services, Fiscal year 2004			Grant Project Number	AW	MINORA DIA		Amount	Ex	penditures		alance		
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part C		VICES	1										
Courpages Notrition Services, Fixed year 2004 CONGOS 59,291 57,375 59,291 Courpages Notrition Services, Fixed year 2005 Courpages Notrition Services, Fixed year 2007 Courpages Notrition Services, Fixed year 2007 Courpages Notrition Services, Fixed year 2007 E97002 45,286 (8,72) 3,084 3,084 11,095 12,006 12,00													
Compresse Nutrition Services, Fiscal year 2005 COSHOGS	• •		CONCO		242 427		604		242.407				
Coursepase Natrition Services, Fiscal year 2005 Coursepase Natrition Services, Fiscal year 2007 Epropose Natrition Services, Fiscal year 2007 Epropose Natrition Services, Fiscal year 2006 HOMEDO HOM				•	•	•		2	•	•	•		
Courgrage Natrition Services, Fixed year 2007 E07002 43,286 (8,726) 43,944 10,041					•		•		•		-		
Couppage Nutrition Services - CI KED B0701 113,197 3,084 3,084 110,					•				•				
Home Delivered Nutrition Services, Fixed year 2007 B07008 74,779 16,141 16,141 16,141 38,7					•						54,012		
Home Delivered Nutrition Services, Fiscal year 2007					,		-		•		110,113		
Total Title III, Part C 93.045 823,186 260,965 587,951 235, Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part B - Grants for Supportive Services: Arce Plant, Fiscal year 2006 AREA06 266,533 111,320 266,533 Ara Plan, Fiscal year 2007 E07001 138,630 142,300 142,301 16, 1 & R 2008 E08001 70,000 43,147 42,147 226, Total Title III, Part B 93,044 495,153 296,767 451,981 43, Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part E FCO Training 2007 E05004 4,568 4,568 4,568 FCO Lind Service 2007 E05005 6,300 6,300 6,300 6,300 FCC Day Care E05001 20,000 19,866 19,896 FCO Consulating 2007 E05003 48,535 5,041 5,041 43, In home respite services E05001 15,267 15,267 15,267 FCO Consulating 2007 E05003 48,535 5,041 5,041 43, In home respite services E05001 15,267 15,267 15,267 FCO Consulating 2007 E05003 6,175 6,175 6,175 Caregiver IIIE FY02 CAREDO 22,000 19,866 17,985 42, Caregiver IIIE FY02 CAREDO 22,000 1,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						•		=		12,672		
Special Programs for the Aging - Tide III, Part B Climats for Supportive Services: Area Plan, Fiscal year 2000 Aras Plan, Fiscal year 2007 BE07001 158,620 142,300 142,300 142,301 16, 1 & R. 2008 BE08001 70,000 43,147 43,147 26, Total Tide III, Part B 93,044 455,133 296,767 451,981 43, Special Programs for the Aging - Tide III, Part E PCO Training 2007 BE05004 PCO Into Service 2007 BE05005 Cargiver FY 2006 BE05001 15,267 BE05002 15,267 PCO Counseling 2007 BE05002 15,267 BE05002 15,267 PCO Counseling 2007 BE05002 15,267 BE05002 15,267 BE05002 15,267 BE05003 15,267 BE05003 15,267 BE05003 15,267 BE05003 15,267 BE05003 15,267 BE05004 BE05003 BE0	Home Delivered Nutrition Services, Fiscal year 2007		E07008	_	74,779		16,341		16,341		58,438		
Greate for Supportive Services: Arce Plan, Fiscal year 2006	Total Title III, Part C	93.045		_	823,186		260,905	_	587,951		235,235		
AREAGO													
Area Pies, Fiscal year 2007 1													
1 & R 2008	• •				-				-		-		
Total Title III, Part B 93.044 495,153 286,767 451,981 43, Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part E FCG Training 2007 E05005 6,300 6,300 FCG Day Care	· · ·		=: ::		-		-		-		16,319		
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part E	1 & R 2008		E08001	_	70,000		43,147	_	43,147		26,853		
FCO Training 2007	Total Title III, Part B	93,044			495,153		296,767		451,981		43,172		
FCG Info Service 2007	Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part E												
FCC Day Care	FCG Training 2007		E05004		4,568		4,568		4,568		•		
Emergiver FY 2006	FCG Info Service 2007		E05005		6,300		6,300		6,300		-		
In home respite services	FGC Day Care		E06001		20,000		19,896		19,896		104		
FCG Counseling 2007	Caregiver FY 2006		E06003		48,535		5,041		5,041		43,494		
FCG Support Group 2007	In home respite services		E05001		15,267		15,267		15,267		-		
Caregiver IIIE FY02	FCG Counseling 2007		E05002		4,982		4,982		4,982		-		
Caregiver IIIE FY02	FCG Support Group 2007		E05003		6,175		6,175		6,175		-		
Caregiver IIIE FY04 CARE04 70,957 4,816 70,957 Caregiver IIIE FY05 CARE05 (9,726) (9,726	**		CARE02		82,082		276		39,523		42,559		
Caregiver IIIE FY05 CARE05 (9,726) (9,726) (9,726) (9,726) (2,	_		CARE04		,		4,816		70,957				
Caregiver IIII FY06	_				•		-		(9.726)		-		
Total Title III, Part B 93.130 283,717 74,642 197,560 86, Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part D: Enhance Fitness 2007 E07006 54,690 14,757 14,757 39, Health Promotion, Fiscal year 2004 HP3D04 8,577 2,638 8,577 Health Promotion, Fiscal year 2005 HP3D05 7,248 7,176 7,248 Health Promotion, Fiscal year 2006 HP3D06 9,579 112 2,100 7, Total Title III, Part D 93.043 80,094 24,683 32,682 47, Passed through Office of State Attorney General— Child Support Enf FY 07 S07001 276,805 184,456 184,456 92, Child Support Enf FY 08 S08001 - 757 757 757 Child Support Enf FY 06 CHIL.06 273,994 1,243 273,994 Total Title IV, Part D 93.563 550,799 186,454 459,207 91, Passed through National Youth Sports Program Fund— Community Services: National Youth Sports Program, Fiscal year 2004 NYSP04 51,566 16,831 47,250 4	•												
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part D: Enhance Fitness 2007	•	93,130	4.123			_					86,157		
Enhance Fitness 2007	·			,		_							
Health Promotion, Fiscal year 2004 HP3D04 8,577 2,638 8,577 Health Promotion, Fiscal year 2005 HP3D05 7,248 7,176 7,248 7,248 7,176 7,248 7,248 7,176 7,248 7,248 7,176 7,248							14.55				20.022		
Health Promotion, Fiscal year 2005 HP3D05 7,248 7,176 7,248 Health Promotion, Fiscal year 2006 HP3D06 9,579 112 2,100 7, Total Title III, Part D 93,043 80,094 24,683 32,682 47, Passed through Office of State Attorney General—					•		-		•		39,933		
Health Promotion, Fiscal year 2006 HP3D06 9,579 112 2,100 7,	taran da antara da a				-		-		-		-		
Total Title III, Part D 93.043 80,094 24,683 32,682 47. Passed through Office of State Attorney General— Child Support Enforcement: Title IV, Part D: Child Support Enf FY 07 807001 276,805 184,456 184,456 92. Child Support Enf FY 08 808001 - 757 757 (Child Support Enf FY 06 CHIL06 273,994 1,243 273,994 Total Title IV, Part D 93.563 550,799 186,456 459,207 91. Passed through National Youth Sports Program Fund— Community Services: National Youth Sports Program, Fiscal year 2004 NYSP04 51,566 16,831 47,250 4. Total Community Services 93.570 51,566 16,831 47,250 4.	• •				•		-				-		
Passed through Office of State Attorney General— Child Support Enforcement: Title IV, Part D: Child Support Enf FY 07 S07001 276,805 184,456 184,456 92, Child Support Enf FY 08 S08001 - 757 757 (Child Support Enf FY 06 CHILO6 273,994 1,243 273,994 Total Title IV, Part D 93.563 550,799 186,456 459,207 91, Passed through National Youth Sports Program Fund— Community Services: National Youth Sports Program, Fiscal year 2004 NYSP04 51,566 16,831 47,250 4. Total Community Services 93.570 51,566 16,831 47,250 4.	Health Promotion, Fiscal year 2006		HP3D06	_				_		_	7,479		
Child Support Enforcement: Title IV, Part D: Child Support Enf FY 07 S07001 276,805 184,456 184,456 92, Child Support Enf FY 08 S08001 - 757 757 (Child Support Enf FY 06 CHIL06 273,994 1,243 273,994 Total Title IV, Part D 93.563 550,799 186,456 459,207 91, Passed through National Youth Sports Program Fund— Community Services: National Youth Sports Program, Fiscal year 2004 NYSP04 51,566 16,831 47,250 4 Total Community Services 93.570 51,566 16,831 47,250 4	Total Title III, Part D	93.043			80,094		24,683	_	32,682		47,412		
Child Support Enf FY 07 S07001 276,805 184,456 184,456 92,	Passed through Office of State Attorney General-												
Child Support Enf FY 08 S08001 - 757 757 757 Child Support Enf FY 06 CHIL06 273,994 1,243 1,243 1,24	Child Support Enforcement: Title IV, Part D:												
Child Support Enf FY 06 CHIL06 273,994 1,243 273,994 Total Title IV, Part D 93.563 550,799 186,456 459,207 91, Passed through National Youth Sports Program Fund-Community Services: National Youth Sports Program, Fiscal year 2004 NYSP04 51,566 16,831 47,250 4 Total Community Services 93.570 51,566 16,831 47,250 4	Child Support Enf FY 07		S07001		276,805		184,456		184,456		92,349		
Total Title IV, Part D 93.563 550,799 186,456 459,207 91. Passed through National Youth Sports Program Fund- Community Services: National Youth Sports Program, Fiscal year 2004 NYSP04 51,566 16,831 47,250 4 Total Community Services 93.570 51,566 16,831 47,250 4	Child Support Enf FY 08		S08001		•		757		757		(757)		
Passed through National Youth Sports Program Fund—Community Services: National Youth Sports Program, Fiscal year 2004 NYSP04 51,566 16,831 47,250 4 Total Community Services 93.570 51,566 16,831 47,250 4	Child Support Enf FY 06		CHIL06		273,994		1,243	_	273,994	_	-		
Community Services: National Youth Sports Program, Fiscal year 2004 NYSP04 51,566 16,831 47,250 4 Total Community Services 93.570 51,566 16,831 47,250 4	Total Title IV, Part D	93.563			550,799	_	186,456		459,207	_	91,592		
National Youth Sports Program, Fiscal year 2004 NYSP04 51,566 16,831 47,250 4 Total Community Services 93.570 51,566 16,831 47,250 4	Passed through National Youth Sports Program Fund-												
National Youth Sports Program, Fiscal year 2004 NYSP04 51,566 16,831 47,250 4 Total Community Services 93.570 51,566 16,831 47,250 4	Community Services:												
			NYSP04		51,566		16,831	_	47,250	_	4,316		
	Total Community Services	93.570			51,566	_	16,831	_	47,250		4,316		
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES 2,284,515 860,284 1,776,631 507	TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMA	N SERVICE	s		2,284,515		860,284		1,776,631		507,884		

County of Kaua'i

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

	CFDA		Pro	eram or	Current Year Expenditure	Total Cumulative	Grant	
Federal Grautor/Pass-through Grantor/Title	Number	Grant Project Number	Award Amount		Amount	Exponditures	Balance	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVE	LOPMEN							
Passed Through State Department of Business, Economic Dev								
Home Investment Partnerships Program, Title II:								
Fiscal year 2000 (HIPA00)		MIKC00CH	s	963,000	\$ (13,999)	\$ 283,236	\$ 679,764	
Fiscal year 2001 (HIPA01)		MKC01CH	•	963,000	(163)	644,810	318,190	
Fiscal year 2002 (HIPA02)		MKC02-03CH		972,400	150,400	638,319	334,081	
Fiscal year 2003 (HIPA03)		MKC03NC		1,547,034	1,321,534	1,396,605	150,429	
Fiscal year 2004 (HIPA04)		MKC04NC		1,069,380	416,743	420,248	649,132	
Fiscal year 2005 (HIPA05)		HII150001		1,006,556	561,220	639,232	367,324	
Program Income		HIPAXX		2,084,803	579,818	2,221,863	(137,060)	
Total Home Investment Partnerships Program, Title II	14,239			8,606,173	3,015,563	6,244,313	2,361,860	
Direct Programs:								
Lower Income Housing Assistance Program-								
Section 8 - Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871	PHA-HI-005		6,813,126	6,813,126	6,813,126		
Community Development Block Grants						•		
Small Cities Program:								
Fiscal year 2001 (CDBG01)		B-01-DH-15-0001		920,999	1 8,358	920,999	-	
Fiscal year 2002 (CDBG02)		B-02-DH-15-0001		924,000	63,445	923,606	394	
Fiscal year 2003 (CDBG03)		B-03-DH-15-0001		900,000	171	889,125	10,875	
Fiscal year 2004 (CDBG04)		B-04-DH-15-0001		936,201	69,535	931,841	4,360	
Fiscal year 2005 (CDBG05)		B-05-DH-15-0001		892,821	373,788	651,496	241,325	
Fiscal year 2006 (CDBG06)		B-06-DH-15-0001		806,848	299,147	299,147	507,701	
Program Income		CDBGXX		838,598	838,598	838,598		
Total Small Cities Program	14.219			6,219,467	1,663,042	5,454,812	764,655	
Special Projects Grant, EDI-SP	14.246	TECH04		497,050	86,580	456,456	40,594	
Total Special Purpose Grants				497,050	86,580	456,456	40,594	
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN	DEVELO	PMENT	2	2,135,816	11,578,310	18,968,707	3,167,109	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE					·			
Passed through Office of State Attorney General:								
Crime Victims Assistance Grants:								
Victims of Crime, Fiscal year 2005		VOCA04		185,051	8\$1	185,051	_	
Victims of Crime, Fiscal Year 2006		. A07021		186,841	13,125	13,125	173,716	
VOCA VA Plan		O4VA3		209,191	186,878	208,385	806	
Total Crime Victims Assistance Grants	16.575			581,083	200,854	406,561	174,522	
Byrne Formula Grant Program:						•		
Statewide Narcotica Task Force, Fiscal year 2005		SNTF05		19,286	76	19,286	_	
Statewide Narcotics Task Force, Fiscal year 2006		SNTF06		24,000	3,828	9,491	14,509	
Statewide Marijuana Task Force, Fiscal year 2006		MARI06		33,000	28,897	28,639	4,361	
US DOJ/DEA DCE/SP Program 2007		P07001		192,000	73,542	73,542	118,458	
US DOJ/DEA DCE/SP Program 2005		DCES05		193,043	(229)	193,043		
High Intensity Drug Traffic, Fiscal year 2004		HIDTA4		70,410	10,942	70,254	156	
High Intensity Drug Traffic, Fiscal year 2005		HIDTA5		70,410	12,999	23,258	47,152	
Domestic Cannabis Eradication, Fiscal year 2006		DCES06		187,922	131,398	179,018	8,904	
Total Byrne Formula Grants	16.579	•		790,071	261,453	596,531	193,540	

County of Kaua'i

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/Title	CFDA Number	Grant Project Number	Program or Award Amount		Current Year Expenditure Amount	Total Cumulative Expenditures	Grant Balanca
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (continued)						Expenditures	DHENCE
Edward Byrne Memorial Grants-State and Local Law Enfo	rcement:						
Stop Violence Against Women, fiscal year 2006		SVAW06	\$	46,811	\$ 19,640	\$ 45,675	\$ 1,136
Stop Violence Against Women, fiscal year 2007		A07005		46,823	26,824	26,824	19,999
Bryne Jag PGM		JAG005		45,370	19.132	38,992	6,378
Bryne Jag PGM, FY05 DVPU05		DVPU05		45,600	31.705	37,468	8,132
Bryne Jag PGM, FY05 PCPU05		PCPU05		104,000	74,381	87,898	16,102
Sart Training, FY 06		SART06		15,804	(1,535)	12,522	3,282
Sane Training, FY 06		SANE06		18,900	4,065	4,065	14,835
Total Stop Violence Against Women Grants	16.588			323,308	174,212	253,444	69,864
Teen Court Hale Opio	16.540	A07004		60,000	31,316	31,316	28,684
Passed through State Office of Health and Human Services: Youth Accountability Incentive Block Grant:							
Youth Program, Title V, Fiscal Year 2006	16.523	YPV005		71,636	4100		
Youth Program Title V, Fiscal Year 2007	16.523	A07001		11,706	4,152 10,231	71,636 10,231	1 476
Total Youth Accountability Incentive Block Grants			****	83,342	14,383	81,867	1,475
Community Oriented Policing Services— Education and prevention:							
COPS Police Activity League		COPPAL		32,982	3,326	21,821	11,161
Total COPS Education and prevention	16.710			32,982	3,326	21,821	11,161
Drug enforcement:							
COPS Community Oriented Police 2002		COPS02		500,000		365.861	134,139
COPS in school		COPS03		375,001	_	40,698	334,303
COPS Kapaa High School		COPKHS		20,000		12,425	7,575
Crystal Meth Vehicles		HCFK₽D		200,000	91,831	200,000	•
Passed through Hawaii Community Foundation:							
HCF, Drug Response Plan Year One/Two		HCFMAY		127,441	60,446	127,440	1
HCF OCA - Youth Activities		HCFOCA		50,000	27,248	49,990	10
HCF, Drug prosecution		A07002		78,500	55,250	55,250	23,250
Total COPS, Drug enforcement	16.711			1,350,942	234,775	851,664	499,278
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE				3,221,728	920,319	2,243,204	978,524
J.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR assed through State Department of Labor and Industrial Rela							
Reed Act Agreement Reed-06-K	17.803	D07070		1,275,556	4,717	4,717	1,270,839
Senior Community Service Employment Program FY07	17.235	E07005, SCSEP6		185,589	11,176	76,432	109,157
Total Reed Act & Senior Employment Program				1,461,145	15,893	81,149	1,379,996

County of Kaua'i

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/Title	CFDA Number	Grant Project Number	Program or	Current Year Expenditure	Total Cumulative	Grant
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (continued)		Creat Links Manuel	Award Amenat	Amount	Expenditures	Balance
Workforce Investment Act:		•				
Adult Program-Local area funds, Title I, FY 2006		WIAAP6	\$ 197,245			
Adult Program Local area funds, Title I, FY 2007		D07050		\$ 110,176	\$ 161,838	\$ 35,407
Adult Program-Local area funds, Title I, FY 2008		D08050	172,472	164,8\$8	164,888	7,584
		200030	139,702	30	30	139,672
Total Adult Program	17.258		509,419	275,094	326,756	182,663
Dislocated Workers, FY2006		WIADW6	78,720	3,1\$1	71,125	7,595
Dislocated Workers, FY 2007		D07051	64,001	50,972	50,972	13,029
Dislocated Workers, FY2008		D08051	128,138	10	10	128,128
Total Dislocated Workers	17.260		270,859	54,133	122,107	148,752
Youth Activities, FY 2006		WIAYP6	176 818	10.000		
Youth Activities, FY 2007 (in school)		D07060	176,818	19,0\$2	176,818	-
Youth Activities, FY 2007 (out school)		D07061	49,232	39,537	39,537	9,695
Total Youth Activities	17.000	Dorodi	102,517	96,0\$5	96,055	6,462
total total Activities	17.259		328,567	154,674	312,410	16,157
Administrative, FY 2006		WIA006	61,946	22,439	61,916	30
Administrative, FY 2007		D07049	43,134	21,611	21,611	21,523
Total Administrative	**		105,080	44,0\$0	83,527	21,553
Total Workforce Investment Act			1,213,925	527,951	844,800	369,125
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR			2,675,070	543,844	925,949	1,749,121
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Passed through State Department of Transportation: Public Transportation for Nonurbanized Areas- Federal Transit Administration, Section 5311;						
FTA BUS HI18x023		FTA023	201.001			
FTA BUS H118x024		FTA023	301,881	173,614	177,341	124,540
FTA, Kauai Baseyard HI180015		FTA015	320,654	242,9\$2	316,655	3,999
FTA FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINSTRATION		FTA039	3,009,999 1,457,667	9 95 15,216	3,010,003	(4)
FTA BUS HI18x022		FTA022	535,500	18,816	15,216 535,500	1,442,451
Total Public Transportation, Section 5311	20.509		5,625,701	451,623	4,054,715	1,570,986
Trana CAP, HI-03-0033	20.500	FTA033	785,808	293,280	785,808	
Highways Planning and Construction (Federal-Aid Highways Bridge Replacement - Off system:	ny Program):		-			-
Omao/Pusopae/Kilauea Bridge (BR0700(32)		OMAO (410)	8,192,051	10,496	674,845	7,517,206
Olohena Road BR-0700(36)		OLOHEN (410)	3,281,240	3,711	2,767,389	513,851
Statewide Transportation Improvement Program:						
Ahukini to Lydgate bikepath		AHULYD (410)	625,000	93,819	386,513	238,487
Kapaa-Kausi Bikepath		STP048 (410)	13,210,000	4,983,812	5,976,072	7,233,928
Lydgate-Kapaa Bikepath		LYDKAP (410)	1,387,173	661,396	400,111	987,062
ADA Curb Ramps (CMAQ-0700 (52)		CMAQ52 (410)	2,133,563	23,658	686,674	1,446,889
Ala Kinoiki Road		ALAKIN (410)	1,845,187	1,814,142	1,863,689	(18,502)
Kuna Bay-Anahola Bikepath (KUNABA -410)		CMAW-0700(56) (410)	390,000	60,516	61,263	328,737
Nawili/Ahukini Bikepath		NAWAHU (410)	500,000	93,116	93,613	406,387
Total Highways Planning and Construction	20.205		31,564,214	7,744,576	12,910,169	18,654,045

County of Kaua'i

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

D

D

	CFDA		Program or		Program or		Program or		Program or			rent Year cenditure		Fotal nuistive		Grant
Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/Title	Number	Grant Project Number	Awar	d Amount		mount	Expe	enditures	1	Balance						
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (continu	eď)															
State and Community Highway Safety:																
Seatbelt Enforcement, fiscal year 2007		P07011	\$	29,820	\$	27,488	\$	27,488	\$	2,332						
Seatbelt Enforcement, fiscal year 2005		SEAT05		31,537		(152)		31,537								
Seatbelt Enforcement, fiscal year 2006		SEAT06		39,820		16,344		28,270		11,550						
KPD Roadblock PGM 2007		P07010		40,000		38,35B		38,358		1,642						
KPD Roadblock PGM 2006		DUI006		58,145		18,588		43,497		14,648						
Speed Enforcement 2006		SPEE06		41,573		26,179		39,587		1,986						
Speed Enforcement 2007		P07012		31,010		9,258		9,258		21,752						
Traffic Data		DATA06		22,000		12,983		15,987		6,013						
Traffic Data 2007 TR07-03 (P07009)		06-K-01		48,820		1,367		1,367		47,453						
Traffic Service (TRAF06)		PT 06-01(02-K-01)		23,221		14,571		20,555		2,666						
Total State and Community Highway Safety	20.600			365,946		164,984		255,904		110,042						
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			3	8,341,669		3,654,468	18	,006,596	2	0,335,073						
CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL SERVICE	٠															
Direct Program-																
Retired Senior Volunteer Program (RSVP):																
Title II, Part A, Older Americans																
Volunteer Program:																
•		norma.														
Calendar year, 2006		RSVP06		77,626		49,07B		77,626		•						
Calendar year, 2007		E07007		77,627		31,810		31,810		45,817						
TOTAL CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL SERVICE	94.002			155,253		80,888		109,436		45,817						
EDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY	(FEMA)															
Assistance to Fire fighers Grant Program		•														
APG - Wellness 2005	97.044	F05001		183,241		16,028		16,028		167,213						
Passed Through State Department of Defense:								,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
Civil Defense - State and Local Emergency-																
Hazard Mitigation grant program																
FEMA Disaster 1640 Dr Hi	97.036	X07006		960,774		464,59D		959,662		1,112						
TOTAL FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AG		7107000			_				_							
TOTAL LUBBAL BULKOBNOT WAYAGONENT AG	E14C 1			1,144,015		480,61B	_	975,690	_	168,325						
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY							•									
Passed Through State Department of Education:																
School Resource Officer Program-Project Outreach																
Gang Resistance Education and Training FY 2007	21.053	P07020		42,499		8,670		8,670		33,829						
Gang Resistance Education and Training, FY 2006	21.053	GREA06		42,499		20,530		30,386		12,113						
Criminal Assets Forfeiture		CAFJUS		37,747		8,124		32,660		5,087						
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY				122,745		37,324		71,716		51,029						
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY																
Passed Through State Department of Defense:																
Law Enforcement Terrorism Perm	97.053	HSGLET		201 427		201 254		201 274		20.054						
Citizens Corp PGM				301,437		281,364		281,364		20,073						
Homeland Security, Part I	97.053	HSGCCP		25,000		16,612		18,418		6,582						
	97,067	CBRNE3		599,400		110,373		526,970		72,430						
Homeland Security, Part II	97.067	SHSGP3		2,250,000	1	1,555,075	1	,893,873		356,127						
Homeland Security 2005	97.067	SHSGP5		1,381,742		572,421		572,421		809,321						
State Homeland Security	97.004	HSGSTA		1,960,060		513,001		670,040		1,290,020						
Homeland Security Grant	97.004	C06100		832,000		10,138		10,138		821,862						
Law enforcement Terrorism Prevention Program	97.074	LETPPS		301,471		293,935		293,935		7,536						
	97,020	C07003		15,000		14,800		14,800		200						
Hazard Material Emerg Plan										160 050						
Hazard Material Emerg Plan Wind Design Code Project	97.017	WINDDC		135,000		224		5,050	_	129,930						
-		WINDDC	_	135,000 7,801,110		224 1,367,944		5,050 1,287,010	_	129,950 3,\$14,100						

: :

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the County and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

2. Subrecipients

Of the federal expenditures presented in the schedule, the County provided federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

Federal Grantor/Program Title U.S. Department of Labor	CFDA Number	Amount Provided to Subrecipients
Workforce Investment Act Youth Activities	14 050	6 100 000
Workforce Investment Act Adult Program	17.259	\$ 133,209
	17.258	195,746
Workforce Investment Act Dislocated Workers	17.260	60,712
Total U.S. Department of Labor		389,667
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		
Community Development Block Grants: Small Cities Program	14.219	436,781
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		
Special Programs for the Aging, Title III, Part B Grants for Supportive Services	93.044	105,000
Special Programs for the Aging, Title III, Part C, Nutrition Services	93.045	177,547
Special Programs for the Aging, Title III, Part D	93.043	2,100
Special Programs for the Aging, Title III, Part E	93.130	57,989
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		342,636
Grand Total		\$ 1,169,084

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

3. Loans Outstanding

The County had the following loan balances outstanding at June 30, 2007. These loan programs are also included in the federal expenditures presented in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

	Federal CFDA		
Cluster/Program Title Outstanding	Number		Amount
Home Investment Partnership Program	14.239	\$	963,500
Community Development Block Grants: Small Cities		•	,
Program	14.219		593,669

PART IV

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

	Section I – Summary of Auditors' R	esults			
Financial Statements Type of auditors' report issued:		Unqualifie	d		
Internal control over financial r	eporting:		• ·		
Material weakness(es) iden	tified?	√ yes	no		
Significant deficiency(ies) are not considered to be ma	Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)?				
Noncompliance material to	financial statements noted?	yes yes	$\frac{}{}$ none reported $\frac{}{}$ no		
Federal Awards Internal control over major prog		<u> </u>			
• Material weakness(es) iden	tified?	<u>√</u> yes	no		
Significant deficiency(ies) is considered to be material w	yes				
Type of auditor's report issued	on compliance for major programs:	Qualified			
Any audit findings disclosed that accordance with section 510(a) Identification of major programs	of Circular A-133?	√ yes	no		
14.871 14.219 14.239 97.067 97.074 97.053 97.004	Federal Pro Department of Housing and Urba Section 8 Housing Choice Vouch Community Development Block Program Home Investment Partnership Pro Department of Homeland Securit Homeland Security Grant Program Law Enforcement Terrorism Prev Citizen Corps State Homeland Security Grant P	un Developmen eers Grant — Small (ogram y m vention			
\					
	ish between type A and type B progra	ms? \$82	6,765		
Auditee qualified as low-risk aud	dit ce ?	yes	√ no		

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

1

Finding No.: 07-01

Section 8 Fund Balance Reconciliation

During our audit of the County's compliance with cash management requirements relative to its Housing Choice Voucher Program, we noted the accounting records failed to accurately account for excess funds restricted for housing assistance payments (see finding 07-12). A communication (PIH 2006-3) was received from HUD detailing the appropriate treatment of the excess funds restricted for housing assistance payments. Management reviewed the communication and based on their review, recorded an adjustment to restate the beginning fund balance in the Section 8 Fund for approximately \$367,000 and an adjustment in the amount of \$97,000 to write-off amounts due to HUD for activity prior to January 1, 2005 in the current year. KMH reviewed the facts and circumstances surrounding the HUD communication and determined that management's adjustments were incorrect. Accordingly, we proposed an adjustment in the amount of \$367,000 to reverse management's restatement which management recorded. We also proposed an adjustment to record the write-off of \$97,000 as an adjustment to beginning fund balance, however, management elected not to record the proposed adjustment.

Recommendation

We recommend that management evaluate communications from HUD in a timely manner to determine what impact, if any, the communications may have on the program's accounting records. In situations where the purpose of the communication is not clear or management is unsure of the proper application, we recommend that management consult with HUD prior to recording any adjustments.

Finding No.: 07-02

Preparation of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

OMB Circular A-133 requires the total of all federal awards expended to be reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA). During our audit, we noted \$837,674 of federal program expenditures that were recorded in the Housing and Community Development Revolving Fund (HCDRF) but were omitted from the SEFA. The expenditures were made from revolved funds which management understood to be funds that were not subject to further federal reporting requirements. Based on our discussions with a representative of the Honolulu HUD Office, it was determined that revolved funds are subject to continued federal requirements and expenditures of revolved funds should be reported on the SEFA. In addition, similar to prior year finding 06-02, we identified approximately \$411,000 of costs that were incurred during fiscal year 2007 but paid and recognized as expenditures in fiscal year 2008. The amounts should have been accrued during fiscal year 2007. Accordingly we proposed an adjustment to record these costs in 2007. Upon identification of the items above, the SEFA was properly adjusted.

Recommendation

We recommend that management verify expenditures reported on the SEFA with the respective program managers. We also recommend that management put procedures in place to ensure that the cut-off of information is appropriate and that the accounting records are complete.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

Finding No.: 07-03

Accounting for Federally Funded Loan Programs

The omission of expenditures from the SEFA, identified at finding 07-02, prompted a change in the accounting for the County's federally funded loan programs. Previously all loan activity was reported in the HCDRF. As a result of finding 07-02, management elected to transfer all federal grant loan activity subject to continuing federal requirements from the HCDRF to the Federal Grants Fund. The transfer required management to post various entries between the HCDRF and Federal Grants Fund. These entries included a restatement of beginning fund balance in the HCDRF. We reviewed management's analysis and the related journal entries recorded and noted the initial analysis performed by management was incorrect resulting in an overstatement to beginning fund balance in the HCDRF of approximately \$4 million. We further noted that management's analysis and the related entries did not reflect the required transfer of accrued interest which amounted to approximately \$407,000. As a result, we proposed adjustments to correct these errors.

Recommendation

We recommend that prior to recording journal entries, management prepare a complete and thorough analysis to support any proposed adjustments.

Finding No.: 07-04

Accounting for Business-Type CIP

During our audit, we noted that portions of the construction costs for capital assets relating to businesstype activities recorded in the proprietary funds are financed through governmental activities in various capital projects funds. In prior years, the related portions of capital costs were improperly capitalized under governmental activities.

The Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards issued by GASB Section 1400.114 Reporting Capital Assets specifically prohibits the capitalization of costs related to activities in the proprietary funds under governmental activities. As a result, the correction of this error resulted in a prior period restatement of \$2,490,565 and required current year adjustments of \$1,025,742 were recorded to transfer this activity.

Recommendation

We recommend that management comply with GASB.

Finding No.: 07-05 Capitalization of Costs

For one project, we noted that upon completion, \$757,239 of previously capitalized costs were identified by management as repair and maintenance costs and were charged off as current year expenditures. Repair and maintenance costs should be identified and expensed as incurred. As a result, we proposed an adjustment in the amount of \$757,239 to restate the beginning net assets of the Sewer Enterprise Fund.

Recommendation

We recommend that management thoroughly analyze expenses to segregate capital items from repair and maintenance items.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

Finding No.: 07-06

Completeness of Project Records

During our audit we noted that project records improperly excluded \$616,200 of prior year expenses that should have been capitalized. Accordingly, we proposed an adjustment of \$616,200 to restate prior period balances. In addition, similar to prior year finding 06-02, during our search for unrecorded liabilities, we identified \$513,516 of construction costs that were incurred during fiscal year 2007 but paid and recognized as expenditures in fiscal year 2008. The amounts should have been accrued during fiscal year 2007. Accordingly we proposed an adjustment to record these costs in 2007.

Recommendation

We recommend that management revisit its policies and procedures for recognizing, recording and tracking construction costs to ensure proper cut-off.

Finding No.: 07-07

Reconciliation of Project Records

Construction projects are generally financed by several funding sources. To facilitate the tracking and reporting of construction projects, the County implemented a project tracking module to its accounting system. During our audit, we tested 11 construction projects of which 5 were identified as reporting expenditure amounts that did not agree to the general ledger records. We also noted that for projects that are sourced from funds that do not have established project tracking, no reconciliation is performed to agree construction expenditures incurred to the general ledger.

Recommendation

We recommend that management revisit its policies and procedures for recognizing, recording and tracking construction costs to ensure that amounts reported reconcile between the general ledger and project records.

Finding No.: 07-08

Preparation of Government-Wide Financial Statements

As part of the preparation of the government-wide financial statements, reconciliations must be performed to convert the fund financial statements from a modified accrual basis of accounting to a full accrual basis of accounting. During our audit of the reconciliations, we noted discrepancies in certain reconciling items. Failure to properly reconcile amounts could lead to a misstatement.

Recommendation

We recommend that management carefully prepare its reconciliations, including reviewing the relevant supporting documents as necessary.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

Finding No.: 07-09
Grant Management

Similar to prior year finding 06-04, during our audit, similar issues were identified related to the County's grant programs. Of the 18 projects selected in the current year, we identified five exceptions in the following areas:

1. Failure to properly close the grant (one exception)

2. Failure to post expenditures to the correct grant (two exceptions)

3. Failure to file for reimbursement in a timely manner (two exceptions)

The two instances where management failed to file for reimbursement in a timely manner relate to the Department of Transportation Formula Grants for Other than Urbanized Areas grant program, CFDA 20.509. Received through the State of Hawaii, the grant agreements between the County and State require the submission of quarterly reports which also serve as the reimbursement request. During 2007 the County did not file any of the quarterly reports, however the County incurred expenditures eligible for reimbursement. As such the County is non-compliant with reporting requirements for the two grants.

Recommendation

١

We recommend the County evaluate the results of corrective action taken in the prior year to strengthen its controls over grant management and identify items for follow-up.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding No.: 07-10

CFDA No.: 97.067, 97.074, 97.053, 97.004 **Program:** Homeland Security Grant Program

Federal Agency: Department of Homeland Security

Award Period: July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007

Questioned Costs: None

Reporting

The Memorandums of Agreement in place between the County and State require the submission of quarterly financial reports by the fifth day of the month following the ending of each quarter. Based on our audit of program records, we noted that the County failed to submit reports for all four quarters. Based on discussions with program management, reporting requirements were inadvertently overlooked as reporting requirements were not communicated to the new grant staff person.

Recommendation

We recommend that the County revisit each memorandum of agreement for each open grant and work towards the timely submission of all required reports. Further if timely submission can not be attained, we recommend the County obtain a written letter from the State granting a time extension or a wavier of the requirement.

Finding No.: 07-11 **CFDA No.:** 14.871

Program: Section 8 – Housing Choice Voucher Program

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Award Period: July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007

Ouestioned Costs: None

HUD Income Targeting

Similar to prior year finding 06-06, the County is required to meet certain HUD Income Targeting Requirements with regard to new admissions to its Housing Choice Voucher Program. HUD Income Targeting Requirements requires at least 75% of new admissions to be in the extremely low income range and 25% very low income range. Based on the results of 2007 program admissions, only 64% of new admissions fell into the extremely low income range.

Based on discussions with a representative of the Honolulu HUD Office, the Kauai County Housing Authority should utilize the data and controls to monitor compliance with income targeting requirements. While a one-time violation would not lead to any immediate sanctions, continued violations could jeopardize program funding displaying a divergence from program objectives.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Recommendation

We recommend the County utilize the information on income levels of new admissions and establish controls to monitor its compliance with HUD Income Targeting Requirement. If further program expansion efforts are made and non-compliance is anticipated, we recommend the County obtain a wavier from HUD or come to an agreement on plans for program expansion.

Finding No.: 07-12 **CFDA No.:** 14.871

Program: Section 8 – Housing Choice Voucher Program

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Award Period: July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007

Questioned Costs: None

Cash Management

As noted under Finding 07-01, during our audit, we noted that the County failed to maintain an accurate accounting of excess funding received restricted for housing assistance payments. Based on discussions with program management, the County failed to implement in a timely manner, a 2005 communication from HUD regarding the allocation of excess funding received in prior years.

This issue was identified by the County and under analysis during the time of our audit fieldwork. The analysis was completed prior to the end of our audit fieldwork; however it was subject to an audit adjustment.

Recommendation

\

We recommend the County evaluate in a timely manner, communications from HUD that impact the program's accounting records to ensure timely implementation and seek further guidance from HUD or the County's Department of Finance as needed to ensure proper implementation.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding No.: 07-13 **CFDA No.:** 14.871

Program: Section 8 – Housing Choice Voucher Program

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Award Period: July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007

Questioned Costs: \$5,137

Eligibility

Similar to prior year finding 06-07, the County is required to gather information on income, family size and housing conditions for both new and continuing participants on an annual basis. Based on the information gathered, County caseworkers assess whether or not applicants are eligible to participate in the program based on Federal and County eligibility guidelines. During our testing, we noted that certain of the County's controls monitor eligibility were ineffective which led to the following:

- 1) For fourteen participants, KMH noted required documents were included in file but not checked off on Document Checklist.
- 2) For two participants, monthly medical allowance per HUD 50058 differed from the third party verification.
- 3) For one participant, HUD 50058 did not include anticipated income for savings assets.
- 4) For one participant, KMH could not locate authorized Third Party Verification.
- 5) For two participants, KMH could not locate rent reasonableness checklist.
- 6) For one participant, participant reports of monthly self-employment income were not netarized as required.
- 7) For one participant, KMH noted EIV verification for social security differed from HUD 50058.
- 8) For two participants, KMH noted average bank balance from the third party bank verification was not used.
- 9) For two participants, KMH was unable to locate any document to support a family member's full-time student status.
- 10) For two participants. KMH was unable to locate copy of social security card.
- 11) For two participants, KMH noted assets on HUD 50058 did not agree to the third party bank verification.
- 12) For four participants, KMH noted incorrect utility allowance was entered into Form 50058.
- 13) For four participants, KMH noted incorrect payment standard was entered into Form 50058.
- 14) For two participants, KMH noted date of birth per copy of birth certificate did not agree to Form 50058.
- 15) For one participant, KMH noted Rent Reasonableness Checklist did not consider range and refrigerator as required per the lease agreement.
- 16) For one participant, KMH noted participant failed to provide a copy of the Hawaii General Excise Tax Return Statement to support self-employment income as required.
- 17) For one participant, KMH noted Child Support/Alimony Certification form was not notarized as required.
 - 18) For one participant, KMH noted participant failed to provide a copy of the 2005 Federal Income Tax Return to support self-employment income as required.

Recommendation

We recommend that the County enforce the implementation of its quality control program as described in its prior year corrective action plan. Implementation of its corrective action plan would identify areas requiring additional attention by management and ultimately reduce the number of errors.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)

Finding No.: 07-14 CFDA No.: 14.239

Program: Home Investment Partnership Program

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Award Period: July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007

Questioned Costs: \$963,500

Allowable Costs/Activities Allowed

During our review of the records for one project, we noted funds were disbursed on an expired promissory note that was not properly executed under the County Charter. It was further noted that the mortgage agreement that serves as the security to the promissory note was also not properly executed.

Under the County Charter all written contracts to which the County is a party shall be approved by the County Attorney as to form and legality. Also prior to execution, contracts involving financial obligations of the County shall also be approved by the Director of Finance as to the availability of funds in the amounts and for the purposes set forth therein. Neither the promissory note nor the mortgage was signed by the County Attorney or Director of Finance.

Funding of the promissory note was made through three disbursements all of which were subsequent to the expiration date of the promissory note, thus it is noted that County's controls over the disbursement process failed to identify the discrepancy and stop the disbursement.

Based on discussions with program management it was noted that the execution of the documents is in line with industry practices which have been followed by the County for several years. It was further noted that note and mortgage are identified in a separate subrecipient agreement that is properly executed. It was also noted that the promissory note was subsequently amended and the amendment was properly executed under the terms of the County Charter thereby ratifying the original promissory note.

Based on our discussion with the County Attorney, it was recognized that the failure to properly execute the note pursuant to the County Charter and the disbursement of funds on the expired note (prior to the amendment) decreases the County's chances of a successful defense on any recourse to the funds disbursed.

Recommendation

We recommend that the County revisit its loan practices to make sure it is in compliance with the County Charter and evaluate, as necessary, any exposure on any open contracts executed in a similar manner. The County should also reiterate its control procedures over the disbursement process with responsible parties to prevent any future errors.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding No.: 07-15 **CFDA No.:** 14.239

Program: Home Investment Partnership Program

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Award Period: July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007

Questioned Costs: \$150,400

Period of Availability

During our review of current year program expenditures we noted that expenditures were charged to a grant beyond the period of availability stated in the grant agreement between the State and County.

Based on discussions with program management in administration of this program, the County usually accumulates several years of grant awards to fund a large project. It was also noted that higher than usual program income has also slowed the County's ability to expend grant funding. Program budgeting in future years include more aggressive program income projections to ensure expenditure of grant funding in full prior to the expiration of the funds.

Although the expenditure was approved and reimbursed by the State, there is a potential risk that the County may have to absorb such costs in the event the expenditure is disallowed by the State.

Recommendation

1

We recommend program management closely monitor the progress of grant expenditures against the period of availability and re-assign funds as necessary to ensure funds are spent prior to expiration. In the event the County is unable to expend them prior to lapsing, we recommend that the County attempt to obtain a written letter from the State granting a time extension or wavier from the program requirement.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding No.: 07-16 **CFDA No.:** 14.239

Program: Home Investment Partnership Program

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Award Period: July 1, 2006 – June 30, 2007

Questioned Costs: None

Program Income

HUD Common Rule 24 CFR 85 and OMB Circular A-110 require recipients of federal funds to use program income to the extent that it is available to cover expenditures prior to requesting federal cash draws. During our audit, we noted that the County failed to utilize program income on hand prior to requesting additional federal cash draws.

Based on discussions with program management, the requirement to utilize available program income was not clearly communicated to the program accountant.

Recommendation

We recommend program management design and implement procedures relative to its draw process to ensure that program income is utilized prior to requesting additional draws of federal funds.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

Finding No.: 06-01

Capital Assets Additions Not Recorded

During the year, the County identified approximately \$26 million in capital assets acquired in previous years (1970 through 2005) not recorded on the fixed asset register. As a result of this error, the County's beginning net asset balance was restated in the statement of activities of the County's basic financial statements as of June 30, 2006.

The majority of these assets were acquired through transactions that occur infrequently and include donation, judgment, insurance recovery, and a contract administered by FEMA on behalf of the County. Based on discussion with management, the majority of the omissions were caused by a lack of communication due to the unusual nature in which the assets were procured.

Furthermore, we noted that the County's annual physical inventory count of capital assets failed to identify the missing assets. County inventory procedures include instructions to identify capital assets not included in the department/agency listing for follow-up. Proper adherence to inventory procedures could have identified the missing assets earlier.

Failure to record capital assets limits the County's ability to assess and evaluate its capital asset needs and proper utilization.

Management Response

Audit observations indicate the majority of these assets were acquired through transactions that occur infrequently and include donations, judgments, insurance recoveries, and a contract administered by FEMA on behalf of the County. The following measures are recommended to be implemented by affected County agencies.

1. Donations: All donations qualified to be classified as fixed assets are currently approved and accepted through County Council action. Adherence to the currently established financial policy should be practiced for the recordation requirement of qualified donations by the acquiring agency. Additional measures to review the Council acceptance of the donated asset need to be established by the affected agency and recordation should be done on a timely basis. Appropriate personnel need to be placed on the distribution list for Council action taken. The affected Department/Agency should complete the asset acquisition form and submit it to the Department of Finance for recordation on a timely basis. Additionally, to ensure compliance, upon review of documented final acceptance following appropriate Council action, and a determination of the agency deemed to be the Trustee of the fixed asset is made, the agency should be notified by the Department of Finance (Asset Manager) and designated to provide the documentation necessary for recordation of the fixed asset.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (continued)

2. FEMA/Other Govt. agency: The audit identified FEMA managed grant program as with other grant programs managed in behalf of the County by another governmental agency coordinated their financial transactions under the auspices on the Finance Department to facilitate procurement and ensure funding controls. The acquisition of fixed assets and potential County ownership is normally defined in the grant terms and conditions. For this case involving the FEMA managed grant program identified in the audit findings, the applicable Public Assistance Policy and 44 CFR guidelines defined timelines for County ownership. In the event that FEMA does not require use of the asset to address another disaster, a period of time is required to lapse as an additional condition before the asset is passed into County ownership. A recommended solution is to emphasize the importance of recognizing and tracking this unique type of potential asset acquisition by the applicable agency receiving benefit/use of the asset and additionally to establish a County financial policy/procedure to identify the agency deemed to be the Trustee of the asset and designate the County fixed asset manager to require subsequent documentation to place it on the County fixed asset register when the asset passes into County ownership. However, in this unique situation identified by the audit findings, a major portion of the initial grant approval to acquire the asset(s) was deobligated following the appeal process and deemed ineligible for FEMA funding. The funding fallout was addressed by a Bond issuance by the County to address this situation. However, the recommended solution in this situation would again be to emphasize the importance of tracking the potential fixed asset by the applicable agency receiving benefit/use of the asset and requiring the subsequent documentation to place it on the County fixed asset register by the County fixed asset manager.

Finance will revise its year-end procedures to include any agency receiving or initiating donations or dedications to notify the custodial agency responsible for the capital asset to add it into the asset register.

The implementation plan has been adopted as of March 20, 2007.

Status

١

We inquired with the fixed assets accountant regarding the status of the corrective action plan and were informed that the plan was placed in operation and the Dept. of Finance performed a review of Council Minutes and all potential donations were investigated for proper recognition. Finding no longer applicable.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (continued)

Finding No.: 06-02

Government-Wide Financial Statements - Accrual Accounting

Based on testing performed throughout the audit, we noted several instances where the County failed to properly record transactions in the government-wide financial statements (accrual-basis). In two instances, the County's failure to properly record the accrual adjustments resulted in the restatement of beginning net assets in the statement of activities of the County's basic financial statements as of June 30, 2006. The restatement recorded was to properly reflect approximately \$622,000 in expenses and approximately \$902,000 in revenues in the prior fiscal year. In addition, the County did not properly accrue approximately \$1.5 million in expenses and \$82,000 in revenues in the current fiscal year.

Under Statement No. 34 of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board - Basic Financial Statements - and Management Discussion and Analysis - for State and Local Governments (GASB 34) implemented in 2003, the County is responsible for fund financials under the current financial resources - modified accrual basis and on the full accrual basis of accounting for government-wide and enterprise fund statements. After years of working on the modified accrual basis, it is noted that a majority of the fiscal personnel do not possess the level of training to identify and account for transactions under the full accrual basis of accounting.

Inadequate number of trained personnel and formal procedures to identify accrued related transactions at the departmental level increases the potential for misstatements in the respective GASB 34 financial statements. In addition, the lack of personnel was a primary cause for the delays in completing the County's comprehensive annual financial report.

Management Response

The operating reserve recapture of \$621,743 was communicated to the Housing Agency on November 9, 2006 by HUD. HUD apologized for the amount of time that it took to implement the statute for the recapture. The Housing Agency's preliminary financial statements had to be submitted to HUD by August 31, 2006. The final audited financial statements include the recapture of \$621,743 by HUD and will be reviewed by Ken Rainforth (Executive on Housing) or the Section 8 Program Manager prior to the submission deadline of March 31, 2007.

The Housing Agency utilizes loan software, CDM (Community Development Manager) that has always recognized the accrued interest balances for each deferred loan (GAP). Finding #06-02 has identified the need to recognize this accrued interest in the County's financial statements. The correction to the fiscal year 2006 beginning balances has been addressed by recording a journal entry to HCDRF (Housing & Community Development Revolving Fund) increasing accrued interest receivable and deferred revenues by \$902,000 for the cumulative effect of prior years' accrued interest on deferred loans (GAP). Additionally, a journal entry for \$82,000 was recorded to reflect the current year activity related to increases in the accrued interest and related deferred revenue balances. Going forward, the activity related to the accrued interest will be journalized on an annual basis. Ken Rainforth, the Executive on Housing, will be responsible for the implementation of the new procedure.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

Status

Based on the current year findings presented in Section III (07-01, 07-02, 07-03, 07-05 and 07-08), we noted that there continues to be errors related to the application of accrual accounting. Accordingly this finding is still applicable.

Finding No.: 06-03 Risk Management

During our testing of the County's self-insured workers' compensation program, discrepancies were identified in reports used by the County to summarize workers' compensation activity for the projection of reserves by the County's actuary. Discrepancies identified relate to a technical glitch in the systems of the County's claims administrator resulting in the omission of approximately \$6.8 million of claim activity. The omission of this claim activity skewed the results of the actuary's projection of losses, resulting in an understatement of approximately \$2.1 million.

The County obtained an actuarial analysis of its claims to obtain an estimate of its workers compensation losses. Failure to provide the proper information for analysis increases the potential that significant loss estimates may be incorrectly calculated and recorded.

Management Response

The worker's compensation claim reports initially released to Aon were produced by the third party administrator (TPA) shortly after the migration to a new internet-based claim information system. The information within the TPA's system was complete; however, the criteria parameters for report generation differed from the portal database application previously utilized by the TPA. The result was that the reports that were produced did not include all of the closed claims along with the open claims. New reports were generated that include the full claims picture as of June 30, 2006 and the loss analysis was revised.

The County's Finance Department has overall responsibility for Risk Management for the County of Kauai. All requests for information will be made in writing and the parameters of the report will be enumerated along with the due date with a reasonable notice. The County Risk Services Coordinator will review information provided by its third party vendors and report to and request approval from the Director of Finance, or his designee, prior to release of the information to third parties, such as Aon's insurance and risk management service team or its actuarial and loss reserve analysis practice.

The County Finance Department, through its Risk Services Coordinator, will also have direct access to view County of Kauai claims information through the TPA's internet based website and will be trained to allow production of reports for verification of the requested information. On future loss reserve analysis or actuarial reports, Aon's analyst will be reconciling the more recently valued loss information against values and claims count (both open and closed) for the previous period.

The reserve analysis is provided in draft form for review with the County prior to release to third parties such as auditors. This allows an additional opportunity for review by the County to ensure the integrity of the source information before the analysis is finalized.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (continued)

Status

Based on the results of the current year audit, finding has been resolved.

Finding No.: 06-04 Grant Management

Based on procedures performed on the County's schedules monitoring grant activity (State, Federal, Construction), out of 18 programs tested, we noted seven programs with exceptions. The following summarizes the exceptions noted:

1. Failure to properly close the grant (three programs)

2. Failure to post expenditures to the correct grant (two programs)

3. Failure to properly reclassify expenditures to the grant (four programs)

The primary reason for the errors noted above was the failure to communicate expenses relating to qualified grant expenditures, especially when the grant administrator is not the fiscal officer of the department/agency. It was also noted that not all grant administrators reconcile their data to the general ledger.

For fiscal year 2006, approximately 32% of the County's projects and operations were funded through grants. Failure to properly track grant activity can impair planning and budgeting decisions due to the inaccuracy of information on available funds.

Management Response

The Finance Department issues the year end closing procedures to all departments annually. The following are stated in the procedures:

- 1. No reports shall be distributed unless reconciled with Finance/Accounting's monthly detailed budget report or Project report.
- 2. A continuous review of reimbursement receipts and expenditures shall be made for each grant.
- 3. Closing form shall be completed upon grant closure.

The accounting systems administrator will reiterate the procedures to the departments and in addition to the above procedures will be requesting a copy the final financial status report that is submitted to the oversight agency. Training will be provided to all fiscal agencies to obtain project reports significant to identify and verify project expenditures and receipts to comply with the above procedures.

Status

As noted at finding 07-09, similar errors were identified during the current year audit, finding is still applicable.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (continued)

Finding No.: 06-05

CFDA No.: 97.067, 97.004

Program: State Homeland Security Grant Program Federal Agency: Department of Homeland Security

Award Period: July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006

Questioned Costs: None

Capital Asset Management

During our testing of controls over equipment and real property management, we noted that the physical inventory of the Kauai Civil Defense Agency capital assets did not account for all items received as of year-end, including items purchased with program funding. As mentioned in finding 06-01, physical inventory observations are also intended to support the completeness of the County's fixed asset register. Failure to identify assets not currently recorded may lead to material omissions.

We further note that the completeness of the capital asset inventory is critical for the Kauai Civil Defense Agency in relation to this particular program as assets purchased relate to equipment used by other agencies (police, fire, etc.).

The failure to recognize and record fixed assets by the County affects the County's ability to properly manage, maintain, and safeguard its capital resources.

Management Response

The County's year-end closing procedures clearly require that all capital assets be reflected in inventory and that these assets be physically identified and accounted for. Each department head is required annually to sign the *Certificate of Custodian* letter stating that all assets enumerated are correct and under the respective department head's control and responsibility. A reminder of the procedures for accounting for capital assets including donated and dedicated assets was issued March 20, 2007 to specifically address the importance of this function.

Status

1

Based on the results of the current year audit, finding has been resolved.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (continued)

Finding No.: 06-06 CFDA No.: 14.871

Program: Section 8-Housing Choice Voucher Program

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Award Period: July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006

Questioned Costs: None

HUD Income Targeting

Based on our review of new admissions to the Housing Choice Voucher Program from the waitlist, we noted the County was not in compliance with HUD Income Targeting Requirements which requires at least 75% of new admissions to be in the extremely low income range. Based on the results of 2006 program admissions, only 54% of new admissions fell into the extremely low income range.

Based on our understanding, the reason Kauai County Housing Authority (KCHA) did not meet HUD Income Targeting Requirements was because of efforts to improve utilization of housing vouchers in order to maintain the same level of Federal funding. This effort included turning over the waitlist twice and changing KCHA's waitlist preference policy from an income basis to a first-come first-serve basis. After instituting the changes to the preference policy and turning over the entire waitlist, the County currently does not maintain the necessary information or have procedures in place to ensure compliance with HUD Income Targeting Requirements.

Based on discussions with a representative of the Honolulu HUD Office, KCHA should maintain the data and controls to monitor compliance with income targeting requirements. It was further noted that although a one-time violation does not lead to any sanctions, continued violations could jeopardize program funding as it would display a divergence from the program objectives. Given the County's circumstances it is also noted that waivers may be obtained from HUD.

Management Response

HUD requires that 75% of all new admissions to the Section 8 program be families whose incomes are at or below the Extremely Low Income (ELI) limit as defined by HUD (less than 30% of the area's median income). This is extremely difficult to monitor due to several factors, the most prevalent being that a family's income is undeterminable until they are pulled from the waitlist and the Housing Agency verifies their stated income.

One solution would be to pull only ELI families from the waitlist. Unfortunately, history shows that although many of the families claim to be ELI at the time of application, often they are eventually determined to be at the Very Low Income (VLI) level (> 30% < 50% of median income). Secondly, pulling only ELI families would discourage applicants from procuring any type of gainful employment while they are waiting for their application to be pulled, which in the past could be up to three years.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (continued)

Our current waiting list shows that 266 applicants out of a total of 407 are claiming to be at the ELI level. Our current percentage (FY2006-2007) shows us at a ELI Admission level of 66%. We have recently pulled 100 people off the waitlist, 62 of which claim to be at or below the ELI level. We hope that some of these families will indeed be at the ELI level and will find suitable housing.

We will continue monitoring this percentage thru June 1, 2007. If we find that it is most probable that we will not achieve the 75% requirement, we will request a written waiver from HUD.

Status

As noted at finding 07-11 the County failed to reach HUD income targeting requirements. Accordingly finding is still applicable.

Finding No.: 06-07 CFDA No.: 14.871

Program: Section 8-Housing Choice Voucher Program

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Award Period: July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006

Questioned Costs: \$9,938

Eligibility

Similar to prior year finding 05-01, the County is required to gather information on income, family size and housing conditions for both new and continuing participants on an annual basis. Based on the information gathered, County caseworkers assess whether or not applicants are eligible to participate in the program based on Federal and County eligibility guidelines. During our testing, we noted that certain of the County's controls to monitor eligibility were ineffective which led to the following:

- 1. For three participants, forms required to substantiate dependent allowance were not included in the file.
- 2. For one participant, outdated data was utilized to calculate self-employment income.
- 3. For seven participants, annual income was incorrectly calculated.
- 4. For ten participants, one or more required documents to verify self-employment income were missing.
- 5. For one participant, the name of the household member per reflected on the Disability Certificate did not agree to the Family Report.
- 6. For one participant, the disability allowance was applied without the doctor's acknowledgment on the Disability Certificate form.
- 7. For two participants, commissions and/or overtime wages were incorrectly excluded from participants' annual income.
- 8. For one participant, no documentation was on file to support a dependent allowance for an adult member of the household.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (continued)

- 9. For two participants, utility allowance was incorrectly calculated.
- 10. For one participant, income was incorrectly entered into HAPPY system.
- 11. For one participant, the Child Support/Alimony Certification form required to support head of household status and household income was not in the file.
- 12. For one participant, the qualifying medical expenses were not deducted from participant's annual income.
- 13. For two participants, there were no indications that the Housing Quality Standards were met.
- 14. For one participant, the bank account balance was incorrectly entered into the HAPPY system.
- 15. For one participant, the required identification documents were not included in the file.
- 16. For four participants, forms required to verify assets were not included in the file.

Management Response

The County is finalizing a new Quality Control Plan which will supercede the quality controls stated in the old Administrative Plan. It incorporates some of the suggestions listed in the prior year corrective action plan along with other quality control measures. Most importantly, internal quality control audits have begun again this fiscal year. In addition, management has attended the Nan McKay Quality Control and Audit Tools seminar earlier this year. Included in this seminar was a software program that can be used as an audit checklist tool. We have incorporated the use of this software into our quality control process.

Status

As noted at finding 07-13 similar instances of non-compliance were identified during the current year audit. Accordingly, the finding is still applicable.

Finding No.: 06-08 CFDA No.: 20.205

Program: Highway Planning and Construction **Federal Agency:** Department of Transportation **Award Period:** July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006

Questioned Costs: None

Davis-Bacon Act and Sampling Requirements

During our review of the County's Federal Highway projects, it was noted that records for one project were not complete and up to date. This project was missing required records for compliance with Davis-Bacon and Sampling requirements. Exceptions noted are isolated to one particular project due to the unique model of project management.

Per discussion with County engineers it was noted that controls over file maintenance for this particular project were neglected to address staffing shortages and heavy workloads. Mitigating controls over monitoring the job were deemed sufficient given the circumstances of the project. Mitigating controls in place include a County building inspector on-site daily to monitor project progress. Documented in the building inspector's reports were observations for corroboration against project records received from the contractor to support the Davis-Bacon and sampling requirements.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (continued)

Despite the existence of mitigating controls, records to support sampling and Davis-Bacon requirements must be maintained to comply with federal regulations. It was noted that by the end of audit fieldwork, all files related to this project were properly filed and up to date.

Failure by the County to maintain records could jeopardize funding for future projects.

Management Response

Audit observations indicate the mitigating controls currently being implemented by the project inspector as indicated by the inspection reports reflecting corroboration with the contractor's project records. However, to ensure compliance with the Davis-Bacon sampling requirements, the following measures have been implemented by the Public Works Construction Engineering section:

- 1. Training for all field personnel and project managers will be implemented to ensure the understanding of Davis-Bacon compliance requirements and the required documentation to provide sampling availability during future financial audits.
- 2. Submittal of project records (payroll affidavits, etc.) will be required and tested for Davis -Bacon compliance on a timely basis by the project manager.
- 3. Cursory checks for documentation submittal will be done during payment requests from the contractor to ensure compliance for documentation submittal for Davis-Bacon compliance.

The implementation plan of this corrective action is currently in place as recommended by the audit.

Status

1

We inquired with program management regarding the status of the corrective action plan and were informed that the missing information was obtained and organized subsequent to notification of audit finding and when manpower was available to address the issue. KMH notes that this is consistent with our review of project records during fieldwork. Finding no longer applicable.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

Finding No.: 06-09 CFDA No.: 14.871

Program: Section 8-Housing Choice Voucher Program

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Award Period: July 1, 2005 – June 30, 2006

Questioned Costs: None

HQS Inspections

Pursuant to Kauai County Housing Agency (KCHA) policies and procedures, all failed HQS inspections noted during the year are to be filed in a separate binder for review by program management. Based on our review of the folder and discussions with program management, no failed inspections were reported. However, during our eligibility testing we noted two out of 96 participant files included a notice of failed HQS inspection. It is further noted that these instances were not communicated to program management. In both cases failure to file the failed HQS inspections were an oversight by the housing inspectors. Based on our discussion with the County's Housing Inspectors, these were the only two instances of failed inspections and in both instances, the inspectors properly performed follow up visits to ensure the deficiencies were corrected.

Unreported failed HQS inspections impair management's ability to monitor program activity and may compromise the safety of participants.

Management Response

A new monthly report by the HQS inspector will be required showing management the amount of inspections completed during the month, the amount of failed inspections, corrective actions required by the Landlord or tenant and follow-up inspection dates. In addition, the HQS inspector will be required to submit copies of any failed inspection reports to management upon completion of the report. Management will ensure that timely and accurate follow-up is achieved by the HQS inspector.

Status

١

Based on the results of the current year audit, finding has been resolved.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

Finding No.: 06-10 CFDA No.: 14.871

Program: Section 8-Housing Choice Voucher Program

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Award Period: July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006

Questioned Costs: None

Housing Assistance Payment Register

In obtaining an understanding of controls over the Housing Assistance Payment (HAP) Register, it was noted that certain controls to ensure the accuracy of amounts paid to landlords and ultimately billed to HUD, were not in place. Based on the Kauai County Housing Agency's policies and procedures, controls over the HAP Register include:

- 1. Vouch disbursements for 3% of participants each month to the HAP contract to ensure the disbursement amount and payee are correct.
- 2. For a sample of participants, vouch HAP reported to HUD to the HAP contract and HAP disbursement register.

During the year it was noted that these control procedures were not performed. Procedures performed were limited to reconciliations for monthly disbursements in total to the HAP Register and did not include a review of details.

Performance of these controls is critical in maintaining the integrity of the HAP Register and HAP disbursements. Any discrepancies in the HAP register and/or HAP disbursements would be considered a questioned cost for the program.

Management Response

On March 8, 2007 a new Administrative Plan was put into place that removed the HAP Register Quality Control audit as it was an outdated control that was used mainly before the implementation of our housing specific software (HAPPY). A new Quality Control plan is currently being finalized.

Status

1

Based on the results of the current year audit, the finding has been resolved.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2007

Section IV - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings (continued)

Finding No.: 06-11 CFDA No.: 14.871

Program: Section 8-Housing Choice Voucher Program

Federal Agency: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Award Period: July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006

Questioned Costs: None

Reporting

In obtaining an understanding of controls over program reporting, we noted that there were no controls in place to monitor the County's reporting submissions. It was noted that during the year, HUD changed report submission procedures moving to a strict electronic/paperless process. In prior years, report submissions included a paper submission with upper-management sign-off facilitating a review process. As no paper submission was required, reports were submitted upon completion and not subject to any review.

It is noted that reports capture key program statistics. Failure to monitor program reporting compromises the County's ability to identify early, performance deficiencies. Failure to review program reporting could potentially compromise the accuracy and completeness of the reported information.

Management Response

Effective immediately, Ken Rainforth (Executive on Housing) or the Section 8 Program Manager will review and sign off on program reports prior to submission to HUD. Accounting will attach a form for the Executive on Housing or Section 8 Program Manager to sign approving the submission to HUD.

Status

Based on the results of the current year audit, the finding has been resolved.